



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/736,151	12/15/2000	Ali Laayoun	104959	8406
25944 7590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			TUNG, JOYCE	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	
3	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/736,151	LAAYOUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joyce Tung	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/08/2003 AND 1/8/2004</u> .						
,	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2,3,5-25 and 27-39 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-3, 5-15, 17-25 and 27-39 is/are re 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	ewn from consideration. jected. or election requirement. er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/736,151

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the final rejection mailed 8/8/2003 is withdrawn in light the new ground of rejections.

Following the entry of the amendment filed 12/8/2003 and 1/8/2004; the claims 2-3 and 5-25 and 26-39 are pending.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 2, 5-15, 17-25 and 27-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 5, 7, 16, 18, 22, 24, 26, and 30-33 of U.S. Patent No. 6376179 in view of Gong (5,512,430, issued April. 30, 1996).

The instant claims are drawn to a method of fragmenting and labeling at least one synthetic DNA, RNA or chimeric DNA-RNA polymer in that at least one DNA or RNA comprising one thiophosphate nucleotide. The method of the instant invention comprises chemical fragmentation of synthetic DNA, RNA or chimeric DNA-RNA polymer in the presence of multivalent metal cations to produce a plurality of fragment and attaching at least one label to the fragment with a labeling agent to produce a detectably labeled fragment. The method of

Application/Control Number: 09/736,151 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

instant invention is done in a single reaction mixture. Claims 1-2, 5, 7, 16, 18, 22, 24, 26 and 30-33 of U.S. Patent No. 6/376,179 are also drawn to a method for labeling a synthetic or natural RNA involving fragmenting the RNA to produce a plurality of RNA fragments having freed terminal phosphates in the presence of metal cation as recited in claims 7, and 16 and chemical catalyst as recited in claims 5 and labeling a plurality of the fragment with labels recited in claims 24 at the terminal phosphates freed in the fragmenting step. The method of the patent can be done in one step. The differences of two inventions are that the method of the instant invention involves an additional step of treating said aqueous solution to decrease or eliminate unattached labeling agent and the treating step includes adding a chelating agent to the mixture after fragmentation and attaching steps. However, Gong discloses the use of nucleic acid to diagnose disease in which after the labeling step, EDTA was added to the labeling solution and the labeled probe was isolated with mini sephadex by spin column chromatography and collected (See column 7, lines 45-58). By doing so, the noise background is reduced and the method become more accurate and sensitive (See column 3, lines 48-51). Therefore, it would have prima facie obvious to eliminate unattached labeling agent and add a chelating agent after fragmenting and attaching steps in the instant invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 3 recites the limitation "said member" in claim 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/736,151

Art Unit: 1637

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 16 is allowable.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Concerning claim 16, no prior art has been found teaching or suggesting the method of fragmenting and labeling DNA or RNA in an *in vitro* nucleic acid amplification mixture.
- 7. U.S. patent NO. 5,981,734, issued Nov. 9, 1999 is made of record as reference of interests because the reference teaches fragmenting and labeling nucleic acid (See column 4, lines 15-29).

Summary

- 8. Claims 2-3, 5-15, 17-25 and 27-39 are not allowable.
- 9. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/736,151

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

10. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

February 26, 2004

ETHAN WHISENANT PRIMARY EXAMINER